## Unknown

**From:** David Stone [situkrock1@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 3:49 PM

**To:** Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored); Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Mason; Janice L (GOV); Dave Stone

**Subject:** Yakutat Funding

Governor Palin,
Thank youi for funding Yakutat projects.
Dave Stone

---

## Unknown

**From:** gov.sarah@yahoo.com
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 7:11 PM
**To:** Kelsey; Mark D (GOV); Mason; Janice L (GOV)
**Cc:** Perry; Kristina Y (GOV); Fradley; Tara D (GOV)
**Subject:** Re: KMBQ

You're awesome. Thanks!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Kelsey, Mark D (GOV)" <mark.kelsey@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 24 May 2008 19:06:52

To:ExternalEmailgsp <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>,"Mason, Janice L (GOV)" <janice.mason@alaska.gov>

Cc:"Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>,"Fradley, Tara D (GOV)" <tara.fradley@alaska.gov>

Subject: RE: KMBQ

I would love to! I think it's a great idea -- and definitely an exemplary project John is undertaking. Thanks for thinking of it!

Mark D. Kelsey

Special Assistant to the Governor

Office of Gov. Sarah Palin

907.269.7450, Anchorage

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com <mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com> ]

Sent: Sat 5/24/2008 6:04 PM

To: Kelsey, Mark D (GOV); Mason, Janice L (GOV)

Cc: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); Fradley, Tara D (GOV)

Subject: KMBQ

Mark- Have we done a letter acknowledging KMBQ's cool efforts to run their local station off green energy? They've been in the news lately on that. If not- let's do so. Thanks!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

## Unknown

**From:** gov.sarah@yahoo.com
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 7:08 PM
**To:** Mason; Janice L (GOV)
**Cc:** Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)
**Subject:** Re: Sched

Argh!!! It's pouring rain here!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Mason, Janice L (GOV)" <janice.mason@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 24 May 2008 18:23:21

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Cc:"Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>

Subject: Re: Sched

I will call the organizer's on Tues. to check into this. Just a side note 71 under blue skies - Janice

--------------------------

Sent using BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: Mason, Janice L (GOV)

Cc: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 08:11:44 2008

Subject: Sched

TENT sat june 14 Anchorage "AK Women's Run". (Maybe I could help start the race?)

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

## Unknown

| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KJREHFELD] |
| **Sent:** | Saturday, May 24, 2008 6:24 PM |
| **To:** | Leighow; Sharon W (GOV); Perry; Kristina Y (GOV); 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'; Hughes; Rosanne D (GOV) |
| **Cc:** | Ruaro; Randall P (GOV); 'ftb907@yahoo.com'; Frye; Ivy J (GOV); Kim; Anna C (GOV); Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Brown; Joan A (GOV) |
| **Subject:** | Re: Capital vs Operating Budget |

I believe there are 5 projects

Sitka Moller park $300.0

Anchorage south high $500.0

Anchorage service high school $500.0 vetoed $1500.0

Ketchikan fawn mtn $500.0 vetoed $500.0

Palmer high $500.0 vetoed $1000.0

So we funded $2.3 million and vetoed $3 million

The communities or school districts could bond for these and potentially be eligible for 60 percent reimbursement from the state under the school debt reimbursement program. Not sure the sitka field is under the school district but it might be

KJR

----- Original Message -----

From: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)

To: Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); ExternalEmailgsp; Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)

Cc: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); 'ftb907@yahoo.com' <ftb907@yahoo.com>; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 17:58:24 2008

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Karen-

What was the total amount funded for turf projects? SL

----- Original Message -----

From: Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)

To: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); ExternalEmailgsp; Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)

Cc: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); 'ftb907@yahoo.com' <ftb907@yahoo.com>; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 17:48:26 2008

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

I did talk to carol but I got an email from her saying she was stunned by how many school projects were actually cut. So she wants to talk with me about what she can do in the future. Karen

----- Original Message -----

From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)

To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)

Cc: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); Frank Bailey <ftb907@yahoo.com>; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 17:43:59 2008

Subject: RE: Capital vs Operating Budget

I've gone through Sean's story. It is definitely misleading. Service High's turf. And Sharon, for another example, he mentions a cut of $1.1 m to Covenant House without stating they received $3.9...but he clarified that the recycling center rec'd $1m of their request for $2m. And, Karen talked w/Carol.

---

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sat 5/24/2008 3:50 PM

To: Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)

Cc: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); Frank Bailey; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Sharon we need adn to correct re: vetoing astro turf - we did fund at $d00 g the Anchorage requests. Not sure what else Sean missed- we should all look and he needs to correct what we find, hopefully. Thanks!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)" <karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 24 May 2008 12:11:18

To:"Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)" <patrick.galvin@alaska.gov>, gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Good point. I expect we will hear more about that. Hopefully the initial flap will die down after a couple of days. Karen

----- Original Message -----

From: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 12:04:11 2008

Subject: Capital vs Operating Budget

For me, the most frustrating aspect of the veto news stories is the comparison of the capital budget vetoes to the operating budget vetoes. What the public doesn't see or understand is the budget discipline you exercise during the crafting of the operating budget. Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or

The fact that the operating budget was not subject to public vetoes at this point in the process was in large part because the Governor kept a tight ship during the formation of her budget.

Just my observations.

-Pat

## Unknown

**From:** Mason, Janice L (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JLMASON]
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 6:23 PM
**To:** 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
**Cc:** Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)
**Subject:** Re: Sched

I will call the organizer's on Tues. to check into this. Just a side note 71 under blue skies - Janice

--------------------------

Sent using BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: Mason, Janice L (GOV)

Cc: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 08:11:44 2008

Subject: Sched

TENT sat june 14 Anchorage "AK Women's Run". (Maybe I could help start the race?)

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

## Unknown

**From:** gov.sarah@yahoo.com
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 5:56 PM
**To:** Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Perry; Kristina Y (GOV); Leighow; Sharon W (GOV); Hughes; Rosanne D (GOV)
**Cc:** Ruaro; Randall P (GOV); Frank Bailey; Frye; Ivy J (GOV); Kim; Anna C (GOV)
**Subject:** Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

She should realize they were FUNDED for the most part - even mosyt of the small "cuts" weren't completely axed but reduced. The state's poured more $ into the public educ system than ever before- as she noted in an earlier press conf that in her 34 yrs she's never seen such an educ package! And she wasn't there to fight with Eddy and the crafters of the Educ Funding Plan for the higher BSA so one has to figure she was happy w what the state funded, incl capital budget items.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)" <karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 24 May 2008 17:48:26

To:"Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>, gov.sarah@yahoo.com,"Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)" <sharon.leighow@alaska.gov>,"Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)" <rosanne.hughes@alaska.gov>

Cc:"Ruaro, Randall P (GOV)" <randall.ruaro@alaska.gov>, ftb907@yahoo.com,"Frye, Ivy J (GOV)" <ivy.frye@alaska.gov>,"Kim, Anna C (GOV)" <anna.kim@alaska.gov>

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

I did talk to carol but I got an email from her saying she was stunned by how many school projects were actually cut. So she wants to talk with me about what she can do in the future. Karen

----- Original Message -----

From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)

To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)

Cc: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); Frank Bailey <ftb907@yahoo.com>; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 17:43:59 2008

Subject: RE: Capital vs Operating Budget

I've gone through Sean's story. It is definitely misleading. Service High's turf. And Sharon, for another example, he mentions a cut of $1.1 m to Covenant House without stating they received $3.9...but he clarified that the recycling center rec'd $1m of their request for $2m. And, Karen talked w/Carol.

---

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com <mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com> ]

Sent: Sat 5/24/2008 3:50 PM

To: Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)

Cc: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); Frank Bailey; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Sharon we need adn to correct re: vetoing astro turf - we did fund at $d00 g the Anchorage requests. Not sure what else Sean missed- we should all look and he needs to correct what we find, hopefully. Thanks!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)" <karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 24 May 2008 12:11:18

To:"Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)" <patrick.galvin@alaska.gov>, gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Good point. I expect we will hear more about that. Hopefully the initial flap will die down after a couple of days. Karen

----- Original Message -----

From: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 12:04:11 2008

Subject: Capital vs Operating Budget

For me, the most frustrating aspect of the veto news stories is the comparison of the capital budget vetoes to the operating budget vetoes. What the public doesn't see or understand is the budget discipline you exercise during the crafting of the operating budget. Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

The fact that the operating budget was not subject to public vetoes at this point in the process was in large part because the Governor kept a tight ship during the formation of her budget.

Just my observations.

-Pat

## Unknown

**From:** Leighow, Sharon W (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SWLEIGHOW]
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 5:58 PM
**To:** Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Perry; Kristina Y (GOV); 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'; Hughes; Rosanne D (GOV)
**Cc:** Ruaro; Randall P (GOV); 'ftb907@yahoo.com'; Frye; Ivy J (GOV); Kim; Anna C (GOV)
**Subject:** Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Karen-

What was the total amount funded for turf projects? SL

----- Original Message -----

From: Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)

To: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); ExternalEmailgsp; Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)

Cc: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); 'ftb907@yahoo.com' <ftb907@yahoo.com>; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 17:48:26 2008

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

I did talk to carol but I got an email from her saying she was stunned by how many school projects were actually cut. So she wants to talk with me about what she can do in the future. Karen

----- Original Message -----

From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)

To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)

Cc: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); Frank Bailey <ftb907@yahoo.com>; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 17:43:59 2008

Subject: RE: Capital vs Operating Budget

I've gone through Sean's story. It is definitely misleading. Service High's turf. And Sharon, for another example, he mentions a cut of $1.1 m to Covenant House without stating they received $3.9...but he clarified that the recycling center rec'd $1m of their request for $2m. And, Karen talked w/Carol.

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sat 5/24/2008 3:50 PM

To: Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)

Cc: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); Frank Bailey; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Sharon we need adn to correct re: vetoing astro turf - we did fund at $d00 g the Anchorage requests. Not sure what else Sean missed- we should all look and he needs to correct what we find, hopefully. Thanks!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)" <karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 24 May 2008 12:11:18

To:"Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)" <patrick.galvin@alaska.gov>, gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Good point. I expect we will hear more about that. Hopefully the initial flap will die down after a couple of days. Karen

----- Original Message -----

From: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 12:04:11 2008

Subject: Capital vs Operating Budget

For me, the most frustrating aspect of the veto news stories is the comparison of the capital budget vetoes to the operating budget vetoes. What the public doesn't see or understand is the budget discipline you exercise during the crafting of the operating budget. Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

The fact that the operating budget was not subject to public vetoes at this point in the process was in large part because the Governor kept a tight ship during the formation of her budget.

Just my observations.

-Pat

## Unknown

**From:** Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KJREHFELD]
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 5:48 PM
**To:** Perry; Kristina Y (GOV); 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'; Leighow; Sharon W (GOV); Hughes; Rosanne D (GOV)
**Cc:** Ruaro; Randall P (GOV); 'ftb907@yahoo.com'; Frye; Ivy J (GOV); Kim; Anna C (GOV)
**Subject:** Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

I did talk to carol but I got an email from her saying she was stunned by how many school projects were actually cut. So she wants to talk with me about what she can do in the future. Karen

----- Original Message -----

From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)

To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)

Cc: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); Frank Bailey <ftb907@yahoo.com>; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 17:43:59 2008

Subject: RE: Capital vs Operating Budget

I've gone through Sean's story. It is definitely misleading. Service High's turf. And Sharon, for another example, he mentions a cut of $1.1 m to Covenant House without stating they received $3.9...but he clarified that the recycling center rec'd $1m of their request for $2m. And, Karen talked w/Carol.

---

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sat 5/24/2008 3:50 PM

To: Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)

Cc: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); Frank Bailey; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Sharon we need adn to correct re: vetoing astro turf - we did fund at $d00 g the Anchorage requests. Not sure what else Sean missed- we should all look and he needs to correct what

we find, hopefully. Thanks!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)" <karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 24 May 2008 12:11:18

To:"Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)" <patrick.galvin@alaska.gov>, gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Good point. I expect we will hear more about that. Hopefully the initial flap will die down after a couple of days. Karen

----- Original Message -----

From: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 12:04:11 2008

Subject: Capital vs Operating Budget

For me, the most frustrating aspect of the veto news stories is the comparison of the capital budget vetoes to the operating budget vetoes. What the public doesn't see or understand is the budget discipline you exercise during the crafting of the operating budget. Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

The fact that the operating budget was not subject to public vetoes at this point in the process was in large part because the Governor kept a tight ship during the formation of her budget.

Just my observations.

-Pat

## Unknown

**From:** Leighow, Sharon W (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SWLEIGHOW]
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 5:36 PM
**To:** 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
**Subject:** Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Will do.

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)

Cc: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); Frank Bailey <ftb907@yahoo.com>; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 15:50:40 2008

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Sharon we need adn to correct re: vetoing astro turf - we did fund at $d00 g the Anchorage requests. Not sure what else Sean missed- we should all look and he needs to correct what we find, hopefully. Thanks!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)" <karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 24 May 2008 12:11:18

To:"Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)" <patrick.galvin@alaska.gov>, gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Good point. I expect we will hear more about that. Hopefully the initial flap will die down after a couple of days. Karen

----- Original Message -----

From: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 12:04:11 2008

Subject: Capital vs Operating Budget

For me, the most frustrating aspect of the veto news stories is the comparison of the capital budget vetoes to the operating budget vetoes. What the public doesn't see or understand is the budget discipline you exercise during the crafting of the operating budget. Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

The fact that the operating budget was not subject to public vetoes at this point in the process was in large part because the Governor kept a tight ship during the formation of her budget.

Just my observations.

-Pat

## Unknown

**From:** Tracie Pierce [tlpierce@pobox.alaska.net]

**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 10:59 PM

**To:** Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored); Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV)

**Subject:** Capital Budget Funding

Thank you for keeping the funding for West High, Romig and Turnagain Elementary in the capital budget. Hopefully next year we can get the funding for the library and the technology grant at West. I had a child at West this year, and two at Turnagain. Next year I will have a child at each of these schools. Your support of the schools is greatly appreciated.

Tracie Pierce

## Unknown

**From:** Ruaro, Randall P (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=RPRUARO]

**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 8:21 PM

**To:** Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Leighow; Sharon W (GOV); Perry; Kristina Y (GOV); 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'; Hughes; Rosanne D (GOV)

**Cc:** 'ftb907@yahoo.com'; Frye; Ivy J (GOV); Kim; Anna C (GOV); Brown; Joan A (GOV)

**Subject:** RE: Capital vs Operating Budget

I think the issue I saw earlier in the story or the one on KTUU's website has been corrected. One of these mentioned that funding "four" fire stations had been vetoed, then it changed to "for" fire stations, and now I think it has been further corrected. I will double check.

Randy

---

**From:** Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)
**Sent:** Sat 5/24/2008 6:24 PM
**To:** Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'; Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)
**Cc:** Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); 'ftb907@yahoo.com'; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV); Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Brown, Joan A (GOV)
**Subject:** Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

I believe there are 5 projects

Sitka Moller park $300.0
Anchorage south high $500.0
Anchorage service high school $500.0 vetoed $1500.0
Ketchikan fawn mtn $500.0 vetoed $500.0
Palmer high $500.0 vetoed $1000.0

So we funded $2.3 million and vetoed $3 million

The communities or school districts could bond for these and potentially be eligible for 60 percent reimbursement from the state under the school debt reimbursement program. Not sure the sitka field is under the school district but it might be

KJR

----- Original Message -----
From: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)
To: Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); ExternalEmailgsp; Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)
Cc: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); 'ftb907@yahoo.com' <ftb907@yahoo.com>; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)
Sent: Sat May 24 17:58:24 2008
Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Karen-

What was the total amount funded for turf projects? SL

----- Original Message -----
From: Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)
To: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); ExternalEmailgsp; Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)
Cc: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); 'ftb907@yahoo.com' <ftb907@yahoo.com>; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)
Sent: Sat May 24 17:48:26 2008
Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

I did talk to carol but I got an email from her saying she was stunned by how many school projects were actually cut. So she wants to talk with me about what she can do in the future. Karen

----- Original Message -----
From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)
To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)
Cc: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); Frank Bailey <ftb907@yahoo.com>; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)
Sent: Sat May 24 17:43:59 2008
Subject: RE: Capital vs Operating Budget

I've gone through Sean's story. It is definitely misleading. Service High's turf. And Sharon, for another example, he mentions a cut of $1.1 m to Covenant House without stating they received $3.9...but he clarified that the recycling center rec'd $1m of their request for $2m. And, Karen talked w/Carol.

---

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sat 5/24/2008 3:50 PM
To: Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Leighow, Sharon W (GOV); Hughes, Rosanne D (GOV)
Cc: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); Frank Bailey; Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Kim, Anna C (GOV)
Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Sharon we need adn to correct re: vetoing astro turf - we did fund at $d00 g the Anchorage requests. Not sure what else Sean missed- we should all look and he needs to correct what we find, hopefully. Thanks!
Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----
From: "Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)" <karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 24 May 2008 12:11:18
To:"Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)" <patrick.galvin@alaska.gov>, gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Good point. I expect we will hear more about that. Hopefully the initial flap will die down after a couple of days. Karen

----- Original Message -----
From: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)
To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)
Sent: Sat May 24 12:04:11 2008
Subject: Capital vs Operating Budget

For me, the most frustrating aspect of the veto news stories is the comparison of the capital budget vetoes to the operating budget vetoes. What the public doesn't see or understand is the budget discipline you exercise during the crafting of the operating budget. Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

The fact that the operating budget was not subject to public vetoes at this point in the process was in large part because the Governor kept a tight ship during the formation of her budget.

Just my observations.

-Pat

## Unknown

**From:** Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KJREHFELD]
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 5:29 PM
**To:** 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'; Galvin; Patrick S (DOR)
**Cc:** Leighow; Sharon W (GOV)
**Subject:** Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Thank you governor! That was hard work and you made a lot of tough decisions! Hope the dust settles soon and we can move on! Karen

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR); Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)

Cc: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 16:05:57 2008

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Amen. Man, we struggle with getting the reporters to "get it"... I need to concentrate more on writing the story for them so they don't miss the point. Sean also made errors in the story re: what was actually vetoed vs just reduced.

At least the editorial kinda/sorta understood where we were going on the capital. No mention of pers/trs, savings, muni sharing, etc tho.

I'm proud of what we did through this process, Karen. You and Joan were amazing. Thank you so much for all your great work!!!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)" <patrick.galvin@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 24 May 2008 12:04:11

To:Sarah Palin <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>,"Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)" <karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>

Subject: Capital vs Operating Budget

For me, the most frustrating aspect of the veto news stories is the comparison of the capital budget vetoes to the operating budget vetoes. What the public doesn't see or understand is the budget discipline you exercise during the crafting of the operating budget. Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

The fact that the operating budget was not subject to public vetoes at this point in the process was in large part because the Governor kept a tight ship during the formation of her budget.

Just my observations.

-Pat

## Unknown

**From:** gov.sarah@yahoo.com
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 3:51 PM
**To:** Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Leighow; Sharon W (GOV); Hughes; Rosanne D (GOV)
**Cc:** Perry; Kristina Y (GOV); Ruaro; Randall P (GOV); Frank Bailey; Frye; Ivy J (GOV); Kim; Anna C (GOV)
**Subject:** Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Sharon we need adn to correct re: vetoing astro turf - we did fund at $d00 g the Anchorage requests. Not sure what else Sean missed- we should all look and he needs to correct what we find, hopefully. Thanks!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)" <karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 24 May 2008 12:11:18

To:"Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)" <patrick.galvin@alaska.gov>, gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Good point. I expect we will hear more about that. Hopefully the initial flap will die down after a couple of days. Karen

----- Original Message -----

From: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 12:04:11 2008

Subject: Capital vs Operating Budget

For me, the most frustrating aspect of the veto news stories is the comparison of the capital budget vetoes to the operating budget vetoes. What the public doesn't see or understand is the budget discipline you exercise during the crafting of the operating budget. Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

The fact that the operating budget was not subject to public vetoes at this point in the process was in large part because the Governor kept a tight ship during the formation of her budget.

Just my observations.

-Pat

## Unknown

**From:** Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KJREHFELD]
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 12:11 PM
**To:** Galvin; Patrick S (DOR); 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
**Subject:** Re: Capital vs Operating Budget

Good point. I expect we will hear more about that. Hopefully the initial flap will die down after a couple of days. Karen

----- Original Message -----

From: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 12:04:11 2008

Subject: Capital vs Operating Budget

For me, the most frustrating aspect of the veto news stories is the comparison of the capital budget vetoes to the operating budget vetoes. What the public doesn't see or understand is the budget discipline you exercise during the crafting of the operating budget. Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

The fact that the operating budget was not subject to public vetoes at this point in the process was in large part because the Governor kept a tight ship during the formation of her budget.

Just my observations.

-Pat

## Unknown

**From:** Frye, Ivy J (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=IJFRYE]
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 8:54 AM
**To:** 'gov.palin@yahoo.com'; 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com'; 'ftb907@yahoo.com'; 'krisandclark@yahoo.com'
**Subject:** Re: Google Alert - sarah palin

You're right. He and I discussed regional balance too. He asked specifically how mat-su faired and I told him that you made sure mat-su got no more than anyone else. I explained the budget process-how we looked at all of the 1,000 or so add ons by category and then looked again by district. I told him I'd call him again from whitehorse tonight to follow up.

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.palin@yahoo.com <gov.palin@yahoo.com>

To: Frye, Ivy J (GOV); Todd <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>; Frank Bailey <ftb907@yahoo.com>; K Perry Yahoo <krisandclark@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sat May 24 07:49:45 2008

Subject: Re: Google Alert - sarah palin

Thanks Ivy. All those projects were moved to be "considered' in 09 budget, and 92.5 percent of those "moved" projects ended up being funded. They've got nothing to complain about there- there was no agreement or promise to veto-proof the items. Sean also didn't grasp the regional balance we tried to strike for lawmakers since they didn't do it. Steve should talk to friendlies instead of just meyer, sted, etc.

The adn editorial wasn't bad anyway!

-----Original Message-----

From: "Frye, Ivy J (GOV)" <ivy.frye@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 24 May 2008 07:16:05

To:gov.palin@yahoo.com, fek9wnr@yahoo.com, ftb907@yahoo.com,krisandclark@yahoo.com

Subject: Fw: Google Alert - sarah palin

I just gave steve a call re: cockerham's budget story. He said Ann wrote one too and would check in w her to see where it ran. He said there could likely be a follow-up budget story on tues and he'd follow up w us before he filed. He was intrigued by the operating cuts and he or ann will follow up w Karen.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (GOV)

To: 'smqwrite@gmail.com' <smqwrite@gmail.com>

Sent: Sat May 24 06:43:22 2008

Subject: Fw: Google Alert - sarah palin

Hey Steve,

When is AP following up on the AND budget story? Cockerham, I think, failed to grasp the hard work that went into making cuts, being fair, distributing appropriations proportionally, etc. No project was ever veto proof. The governor and her team made this very clear months ago and has continued to say it throughout the budget process. Meyer's comments about a "gentlemen's agreement" are rediculous. The governor said she would add some of last year's vetoed projects into this year's capital budget to consider them again, not to fund all of them. A large majority of them were funded this year despite his comments. Also, Stedman, shouldn't be complaining about projects he didn't receive, instead he should focus on the point that his senate district alone received more capital funding and projects than any of the others in the state. His focussing on the few projects that were cut in his  is as he calls it "pure politics". Also, Cockerham didn't seem to understand the significance of reducing the operating budget. Governor's rarely reduce the operating; the last time was under Hickel I believe, some 20 yrs ago. The governor is comitted to reducing the growth of government, cutting uneeded operations, while also holding harmless education funding that she worked tirelessly for last session, and also labor contract increases that were agreed upon between the state and various unions. Her reduction on the operating side goes straight to the cbr. The governor crafted two thoughtful and fair budgets that Alaskans will appreciate. Thanks for your time Steve. I appreciate you listening. Please let me know if you or Ann needs to touch base with the governor's office today. I'm in and out of cell coverage, but leave a voicemail or send an email and I'll get back to you asap.

Thanks!

Ivy

----- Original Message -----

From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>

To: Frye, Ivy J (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 01:41:00 2008

Subject: Google Alert - sarah palin

Google News Alert for: sarah palin

Palin's veto ax lops $268 million from budget <http://www.adn.com/front/story/415749.html <http://www.adn.com/front/story/415749.html> >

Anchorage Daily News (subscription) - Anchorage,AK,USA

Sarah Palin on Friday axed about 10 percent of the spending that state legislators approved for hometown projects. This is the second year in a row Palin ...

See all stories on this topic <http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ncl=http://www.adn.com/front/story/415749.html <http://news.google.com/news?

hl=en&ncl=http://www.adn.com/front/story/415749.html> >

DNR uses outsiders to hype AGIA <http://www.voiceofthetimes.net/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=1306&Itemid=2 <http://www.voiceofthetimes.net/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=1306&Itemid=2> >

Anchorage Times - Anchorage,AK,USA

Sarah Palin's administration before, on a trial basis. "Last fall, we arranged for a feature story in Fortune magazine, 'Alaska Gets Tough on Big Oil," ...

See all stories on this topic <http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ncl=http://www.voiceofthetimes.net/index.php%3Foption%3Dcom_content%26task%3Dview%26id%3D1306%26Itemid%3D2 <http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ncl=http://www.voiceofthetimes.net/index.php%3Foption%3Dcom_content%26task%3Dview%26id%3D1306%26Itemid%3D2> >

---

## Unknown

**From:** gov.sarah@yahoo.com
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 8:12 AM
**To:** Mason; Janice L (GOV)
**Cc:** Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)
**Subject:** Sched

TENT sat june 14 Anchorage "AK Women's Run". (Maybe I could help start the race?)

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

## Unknown

**From:** Frye, Ivy J (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=IJFRYE]
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2008 7:16 AM
**To:** 'gov.palin@yahoo.com'; 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com'; 'ftb907@yahoo.com'; 'krisandclark@yahoo.com'
**Subject:** Fw: Google Alert - sarah palin

I just gave steve a call re: cockerham's budget story. He said Ann wrote one too and would check in w her to see where it ran. He said there could likely be a follow-up budget story on tues and he'd follow up w us before he filed. He was intrigued by the operating cuts and he or ann will follow up w Karen.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (GOV)

To: 'smqwrite@gmail.com' <smqwrite@gmail.com>

Sent: Sat May 24 06:43:22 2008

Subject: Fw: Google Alert - sarah palin

Hey Steve,

When is AP following up on the AND budget story? Cockerham, I think, failed to grasp the hard work that went into making cuts, being fair, distributing appropriations proportionally, etc. No project was ever veto proof. The governor and her team made this very clear months ago and has continued to say it throughout the budget process. Meyer's comments about a "gentlemen's agreement" are rediculous. The governor said she would add some of last year's vetoed projects into this year's capital budget to consider them again, not to fund all of them. A large majority of them were funded this year despite his comments. Also, Stedman, shouldn't be complaining about projects he didn't receive, instead he should focus on the point that his senate district alone received more capital funding and projects than any of the others in the state. His focussing on the few projects that were cut in his  is as he calls it "pure politics". Also, Cockerham didn't seem to understand the significance of reducing the operating budget. Governor's rarely reduce the operating; the last time was under Hickel I believe, some 20 yrs ago. The governor is comitted to reducing the growth of government, cutting uneeded operations, while also holding harmless education funding that she worked tirelessly for last session, and also labor contract increases that were agreed upon between the state and various unions. Her reduction on the operating side goes straight to the cbr. The governor crafted two thoughtful and fair budgets that Alaskans will appreciate. Thanks for your time Steve. I appreciate you listening. Please let me know if you or Ann needs to touch base with the governor's office today. I'm in and out of cell coverage, but leave a voicemail or send an email and I'll get back to you asap.

Thanks!

Ivy

----- Original Message -----

From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>

To: Frye, Ivy J (GOV)

Sent: Sat May 24 01:41:00 2008

Subject: Google Alert - sarah palin

Google News Alert for: sarah palin

Palin's veto ax lops $268 million from budget <http://www.adn.com/front/story/415749.html>

Anchorage Daily News (subscription) - Anchorage, AK, USA

Sarah Palin on Friday axed about 10 percent of the spending that state legislators approved for hometown projects. This is the second year in a row Palin ...

See all stories on this topic <http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ncl=http://www.adn.com/front/story/415749.html>

DNR uses outsiders to hype AGIA <http://www.voiceofthetimes.net/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=1306&Itemid=2>

Anchorage Times - Anchorage, AK, USA

Sarah Palin's administration before, on a trial basis. "Last fall, we arranged for a feature story in Fortune magazine, 'Alaska Gets Tough on Big Oil," ...

See all stories on this topic <http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ncl=http://www.voiceofthetimes.net/index.php%3Foption%3Dcom_content%26task%3Dview%26id%3D1306%26Itemid%3D2>

---

This as-it-happens Google Alert is brought to you by Google.

Remove <http://www.google.com/alerts/remove?s=EAAAAMsnBV_gkblsHYDeE3eLZXQ&hl=en&gl=> this alert.

Create <http://www.google.com/alerts?hl=en&gl=> another alert.

Manage <http://www.google.com/alerts/manage?hl=en&gl=> your alerts.